FAREHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting
	Restrictions – Wickham Road, Fareham
Report of:	Director of Operations
Strategy/Policy:	·
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose: To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in Wickham Road and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary: This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in Wickham Road.

Recommendation: That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason: To address concerns and complaints about parking in Wickham Road near to its junction with Furzehall Avenue.

Cost of Proposals: The cost of the proposals will be met by Fareham Borough Council's Traffic Management budget.

Risk Assessment: There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A : Scheme drawing

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 6 September 2016

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Wickham Road, Fareham

Briefing by: Director of Operations

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

- 1. Waiting restrictions were introduced into the Furzehall Avenue area of Wickham Road earlier in 2016 and have been successful with a number of compliments received.
- 2. The only particular concern has been that exit from properties (High Drey and Little Drey) to the north of Furzehall Avenue (on the opposite side of Wickham Road), remains significantly compromised by parking.
- 3. It is proposed that the removal of parking from a further short length of Wickham Road would overcome these concerns.

Consultations

- 4. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and expressed their support.
- 5. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

6. The proposal was advertised in July 2016 and no responses were received.

Conclusion

7. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and shown at Appendix A.